



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/666,723

09/17/2003

Chaohuang Zeng

ATHEP122

6055

21912 7590 04/14/2009
VAN PELT, YI & JAMES LLP
10050 N. FOOTHILL BLVD #200
CUPERTINO, CA 95014

EXAMINER

SINGH, HIRDEPAL

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2611

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/14/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/666,723	Applicant(s) ZENG ET AL.	
	Examiner HIRDEPAL SINGH	Art Unit 2611	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 January 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8, 10-14 and 20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8, 10-14 and 20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the amendment filed on January 09, 2009. Claims 1-8, 10-14 and 20 are pending and have been considered below.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed January 09, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
3. Applicant argues that "...none of Marshall, Muratani, or Chang discloses summing the self correlations, including adjusting the sign of each of the self correlations according to a known sequence..." (Remarks page 5).
4. Examiner respectfully traverses Applicant's opinion combination of prior art references teaches all of the claimed limitations where the secondary reference Change discloses a system and method for carrier sensing link quality in a receiver where the correlation is summed as shown in figure 4, and the correlation sum is determined about an interval (see paragraph 0017) the summation of magnitude of barker correlated samples is done (see paragraph 0043) i.e. only magnitude is taken in other words the sign is selected or adjusted as required in this case as positive.
5. Applicant' argument "...Bohnke ...teaches cross correlating an incoming signal ...Multiplying a sample of an incoming signal with a sample of a reference symbol is different from summing the *self correlations*" and "...Chang ...teaches exclusive-ORing received data and a Barker code ...Exclusive-ORing received data with a Barker code to obtain multiple bits per input bit is different from *summing the self correlations*..."

Art Unit: 2611

(Remarks page 5); is respectfully traversed by the examiner as Applicant's argument about "Bohnke" is moot because this reference is not used for the independent claims rejection in the previous office action about which the applicant is arguing, and about Chang, Applicant is referring to only a part of the reference, however the rejection is made based on entire contents of the reference, and as discussed above in other parts except paragraph 0016, Chang discloses taking sum of correlation with sign adjusting in the system. Therefore, the rejection to the claims is upheld.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 1, 10, 12, 20, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marshall (5, 598,429) in view of Muratani et al. (7,123,744) and further in view of Chang et al. (US 2004/0146091).

Regarding claims 1 and 20:

Marshall discloses a system and method for sampling a received signal to produce a sequence of samples wherein the sequence of samples includes a plurality of subsequences of samples' (figure 5, elements 303 & 304, col.2, lines 57-64), 'cross correlating the subsequences of samples with a known form of the subsequence to

Art Unit: 2611

produce one cross correlation for each of the plurality of subsequences of samples' (see Abstract, lines 1-6, figure 5, element 307 & figure 8, col.3, lines 4-40).

Marshall also processes the digital correlated values (figure 15) except for specifically teaching, wherein (1) summing the self correlation, including adjusting the sign of each of self correlation according to known sequence; and (2) self correlation the cross correlation.

Regarding item (1) above, Chang in the same field of endeavor discloses a system and method for carrier sensing, signal and link quality where summing the self correlation (as shown in figure 4), including adjusting the sign of each of self correlation according to known sequence (paragraphs 0017 and 0043).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention, to implement the teachings of Chang into Marshall in order to achieve symbol synchronization in the communications system by detecting the training pulse through pulse train detector and enhance quality of communication by carrier sensing, signal and link quality in a simple way.

Regarding item (2) above, Muratani auto correlating (self correlation) the cross correlation (figure 3, elements 31 & 34, col.7, lines 5-10) and produce plurality of self correlations (figure 9, elements 38, col.11, lines 30-43) (it is noted that in figure 9 Muratani discloses circuits 91 to 9N each includes auto correlation units which generates plurality of outputs which are combined (figure 9, element 18 & figure 10, col. 11, lines 28-34 & 39-43)(claimed 'summing the self correlations') and output as a whole as the detecting result 38 (figure 10, col.11, lines 39-43) and thus reads on claim

Art Unit: 2611

limitations of 'self correlating the cross correlations to produce a plurality of self correlations' and 'summing the self correlations').

Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time invention was made, to implement the teachings of Muratani into Marshall in order to determine the peak position and period of the number sequence by auto correlating (self correlating) the cross correlation in order to detect the target value as taught by Muratani (col.4, lines 3-14).

Regarding claim 10:

Marshall discloses all of the subject matter as described above except for specifically teaching pseudorandom sequence.

Muratani teaches auto correlations (claimed 'self correlation') according to a pseudo-random sequence (figure 3, elements 32, 31 & 35) (claimed 'self correlations according to a pseudorandom sequence').

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time invention was made, to implement the teachings of Muratani into Marshall, ran and Bohnke in order to determine the peak position and period of the number sequence by using the pseudorandom sequence for auto correlating (self correlating) the cross correlation in order to detect the target value as taught by Muratani (col.4, lines 3-14 & 63-67, col.5, lines 1-7).

Regarding claim 12:

Art Unit: 2611

Marshall discloses all of the subject matter as described above and further discloses resetting upon the occurrence of an automatic gain control adjustment' (figure 13, element 701, 'average digital AGC', col.8, lines 30-61).

8. Claims 2-6, 8, 11, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marshall (5, 598,429) in views of Muratani et al. (7,123,744) and Chang et al. (US 2004/0146091), as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Husted et al. (2002/0183027).

Regarding claim 2:

Marshall discloses all of the subject matter as described above except for specifically teaching comparing of magnitude of the sum of the self correlations to a threshold.

Husted teaches, 'processing the sum of the self correlations includes comparing the magnitude of the sum of the self correlations to a threshold' (page # 5, paragraphs # 0060-0065).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention was made, to implement the teachings of Husted into Marshall in order to differentiate desired in-band signals from high power out-of-band signals that overlap into the target band by verifying the in-band signals by a multi-threshold comparison of the normalized self-correlation to verify the presence of a new, desired in-band signal as

Art Unit: 2611

taught by Husted (see Abstract) thus provide an automatic gain control system for a receiver.

Regarding claims 3, 4 and 5:

Marshall discloses all of the subject matter as described above except for specifically teaching a first and second threshold and comparison of the summed magnitude of the self correlation.

Husted discloses two threshold windowing process on a self correlation measurement (page 5, paragraph 0065) (claimed first and second thresholds) and he summed the magnitude of the real and imaginary parts of the self correlation (page 5, equation 7) (claimed 'magnitude of the real part of the sum of the self correlations and the magnitude of the imaginary part of the sum of the self correlations') before comparing them with the first and second threshold (page 5, paragraphs 0061-0065) (claimed comparison of the magnitude of summed sums and summed magnitudes to a second threshold).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention was made, to implement the teachings of Husted into Marshall in order to differentiate desired in-band signals from high power out-of-band signals that overlap into the target band by verifying the in-band signals by a multi-threshold comparison of the normalized self-correlation to verify the presence of a new, desired in-band signal as taught by Husted (see Abstract) thus provide an automatic gain control system for a receiver.

Regarding claim 6:

Art Unit: 2611

Marshall discloses all of the subject matter as described above except for specifically teaching a first threshold and second threshold comparison for the summed magnitudes of the self correlations.

Husted discloses, 'processing the sum of the self correlations includes comparing for a period of time the magnitude of the sum of the self correlations to a first threshold and summing magnitudes of the sum of the self correlation that exceed the first threshold and comparing the summed magnitudes to a second threshold' (page # 5, paragraphs # 0061-0063 & 0065).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention, to implement the teachings of Husted into Marshall in order to differentiate desired in-band signals from high power out-of-band signals that overlap into the target band by verifying the in-band signals by a multi-threshold comparison of the normalized self-correlation to verify the presence of a new, desired in-band signal as taught by Husted (see Abstract) thus provide an automatic gain control system for a receiver.

Regarding claim 8:

Marshall discloses all of the subject matter as described above except for specifically teaching determination of packet boundary based on the time and the sum of the self correlation is maximum.

Husted teaches, 'processing the sum of the self correlations includes determining a packet boundary based on the time when the sum of the self correlations is determined to be a maximum' (page # 5, paragraphs # 0061, 0063 & 0064).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention was made, to implement the teachings of Husted into Marshall in order to differentiate desired in-band signals from high power out-of-band signals that overlap into the target band by verifying the in-band signals by a multi-threshold comparison of the normalized self-correlation to verify the presence of a new, desired in-band signal as taught by Husted (see Abstract) thus provide an automatic gain control system for a receiver.

Regarding claim 11:

Marshall discloses all of the subject matter as described above except for specifically teaching resetting the sum to zero.

Husted teaches, 'resetting the sum of the self correlations to zero upon the occurrence of an automatic gain control adjustment' (figure 3, page # 4, paragraph # 0043-0048) (it is noted in the mentioned paragraphs that if the acc_count counter is zero, accumulator adcpwr1 is being reset and it happens during AGC operation (page # 4, paragraph # 0043-0045) (claimed 'occurrence of an automatic gain control'), furthermore, AGC 230 takes the power measurement from power detector 220, which is connected to self correlation 225, (figure 3, page # 2, paragraph # 0024) and these measurements involves maximum output zero based on the log table calculation (page # 3, paragraph # 0035) which reads on claim limitations of 'resetting the sum of the self correlations to zero' since both power detector 220 and self-correlator 225 are connected together in order to output the signal to the AGC control 230 (figure 3).

Art Unit: 2611

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention was made, to implement the teachings of Husted into Marshall in order to differentiate desired in-band signals from high power out-of-band signals that overlap into the target band by verifying the in-band signals by a multi-threshold comparison of the normalized self-correlation to verify the presence of a new, desired in-band signal as taught by Husted (see Abstract) thus provide an automatic gain control system for a receiver.

Regarding claim 14:

Marshall discloses all of the subject matter as described above except for specifically teaching reducing the number of bits.

Husted teaches, 'rescaling the received signal to reduce the number of bits required for cross correlation and self correlation' (page # 2, paragraph # 0022, lines 6-13).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention was made, to implement the teachings of Husted into Marshall in order to differentiate desired in-band signals from high power out-of-band signals that overlap into the target band by verifying the in-band signals by a multi-threshold comparison of the normalized self-correlation to verify the presence of a new, desired in-band signal as taught by Husted (see Abstract) thus provide an automatic gain control system for a receiver.

Art Unit: 2611

9. Claims 7 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marshall (5, 598,429) in views of Muratani et al. (7,123,744) and Chang et al. (US 2004/0146091), as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kim (7,012,881).

Regarding claim 7 and 13:

Marshall discloses all of the subject matter as described above except for specifically teaching determination of frequency offset.

Kim discloses, 'processing the sum of the self correlations includes determining a frequency offset from the phase of the sum of the self correlations' (figures 2 & 3, col.8, lines 40-67), 'including determining a frequency offset from the angle of the sum of the self correlations' (figures 2 & 3, col.8, lines 40-67).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention was made, to implement the teachings of Kim into Marshall in order to estimate frequency offset for OFDM and achieve frequency synchronization as taught by Kim (col.3, lines 39-54) thus enhance system performance.

Conclusion

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 2611

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HIRDEPAL SINGH whose telephone number is (571) 270-1688. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (Alternate Friday Off) 8:30AM-6:00PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shuwang Liu can be reached on 571-272-3036. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/H. S./

Examiner, Art Unit 2611

/Shuwang Liu/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2611